

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	] 1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/660,630	10/660,630 09/12/2003		Paul J. Lemens	081069-0305925	7071
909	7590	07/07/2004		EXAMINER	
		THROP, LLP	SELLS, JAMES D		
P.O. BOX I MCLEAN,		02		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
				1734	
				DATE MAILED: 07/07/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	(r\cdot\)				
	10/660,630	LEMENS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	James Sells	1734					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	S				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a re within the statutory minimum of thirty ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this commun  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.				
Status	•						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_··						
,	action is non-final.						
·— · · ·	,—						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav	wn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement						
, <u> </u>	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			101/4)				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. &	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
<ul> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Ap	oplication No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		received in this National Stag	е				
application from the International Bureau	•	racaivad					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies flot i	eceiveu.					
Attachment(s)	•						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/660,630 Page 2

Art Unit: 1734

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Double Patenting**

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-21 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-17 of U.S. Patent No. 6,675,854. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because all the limitations of applicant's claims 1-21 are contained within or made obvious by claims 1-17 of US Patent 6,675,854.

### References

3. References B is cited as prior art of interest.

## Telephone/Fax

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Sells whose telephone number is (571) 272-

Application/Control Number: 10/660,630

Art Unit: 1734

Page 3

1237. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday between 9:30 AM and 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Fiorilla can be reached at (571) 272-1187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

JAMES SELLS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
TECH. CENTER 1700